

## Pointwise Approximation by Algebraic Polynomials

R. DAHLHAUS<sup>1</sup>

*Fachbereich Mathematik, Universität Essen,  
D-4300 Essen 1, West Germany*

*Communicated by V. Totik*

Received July 1, 1986

In 1951, A.F. Timan [9] proved that the approximation of smooth functions by algebraic polynomials can be improved near the end points of the approximation interval. He proved that for all  $f \in C^r[-1, 1]$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$  there exists a polynomial of degree not greater than  $n$ ,  $p_n \in \Pi_n$  such that for all  $x \in [-1, 1]$

$$|f(x) - p_n(x)| \leq C \Delta_n(x)^r \omega_1(f^{(r)}, \Delta_n(x)), \tag{1}$$

where  $\Delta_n(x) = \sqrt{1-x^2}/n + 1/n^2$ , and  $\omega_1(f^{(r)}, \cdot)$  is the modulus of continuity of the  $r$ th derivative of  $f$ . Subsequently, Brudnyi [1] extended the result by replacing  $\omega_1(f^{(r)}, \Delta_n(x))$  by the  $s$ th modulus of continuity  $\omega_s(f^{(r)}, \Delta_n(x))$  ( $s \in \mathbb{N}$ ) while Gopengauz [5] proved the estimation simultaneously for all derivatives of  $f$ ; i.e., we have

$$|f^{(k)}(x) - p_n^{(k)}(x)| \leq C \Delta_n(x)^{r-k} \omega_s(f^{(r)}, \Delta_n(x)) \tag{2}$$

for  $0 \leq k \leq r$ .

In 1963, at the Oberwolfach conference on approximation theory, G. G. Lorentz [11] raised the question of whether  $\Delta_n(x)$  in (1) can be replaced by  $\Gamma_n(x) = \sqrt{1-x^2}/n$ . This question was answered positively in 1966 by Telyakowskii [8]. Subsequently, attempts were made to obtain the same generalization of this result as in (2). Gopengauz [4] obtained (2) with  $\Gamma_n(x)$  instead of  $\Delta_n(x)$  for  $s=1$ , De Vore [2] proved the result for  $s=2$  and  $r=0$ , and Hinnemann and Gonska [6] proved the case  $s=2$ ,  $r \geq 0$  and  $k=0$ .

In 1985, considerable progress was made in papers by Gonska and Hinnemann [3] and Yu [10]. Gonska and Hinnemann proved by two independent proofs the cases  $s \leq r+2$ ,  $k=0$  and  $s \leq r$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq r-s$ , while Yu was the first to show by a counterexample that (2), with  $\Delta_n(x)$  replaced by  $\Gamma_n(x)$ , in general does not hold. He gave a counterexample for the case

<sup>1</sup> Current address: Institut für Angewandte Mathematik, Universität Heidelberg, D-6900 Heidelberg, West Germany.

$s = r + 3$  (and therefore also for  $s \geq r + 3$ ). Combining both papers, for  $k = 0$  the conjecture therefore holds if and only if  $s \leq r + 2$ .

By extending the proof methods of Gonska and Hinnemann and Yu we prove in this paper that (2) holds, with  $\Delta_n(x)$  replaced by  $\Gamma_n(x)$ , if and only if  $0 \leq k \leq \min\{r - s + 2, r\}$ , which solves the problem completely.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . There exists a constant  $C_{r,s} \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for all  $f \in C^r[-1, 1]$  and all  $n \geq \max\{4(r + 1), r + s\}$  there exists a  $p_n \in \Pi_n$  with*

$$|f^{(k)}(x) - p_n^{(k)}(x)| \leq C_{r,s} \Gamma_n(x)^{r-k} \omega_s(f^{(r)}, \Gamma_n(x)) \tag{3}$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  with  $0 \leq k \leq \min\{r - s + 2, r\}$  and all  $x \in [-1, 1]$ .

*Proof.* Since the case  $s = 1$  implies the case  $s = 0$  we assume  $s \geq 1$ . Theorems 4.2 and 5.4 of Gonska and Hinnemann [3] imply the existence of linear polynomial operators  $Q_n = Q_n^{(r,s)}: C^r[-1, 1] \rightarrow \Pi_n$  with

$$(Q_n f)^{(k)}(\pm 1) = f^{(k)}(\pm 1) \quad \text{for all } f \in C^r[-1, 1] \text{ and } 0 \leq k \leq r, \tag{4}$$

$$|f^{(k)}(x) - (Q_n f)^{(k)}(x)| \leq A_{r,s} \Delta_n(x)^{r+s-k} \|f^{(r+s)}\|$$

$$\text{for all } f \in C^{r+s}[-1, 1], |x| \leq 1, \text{ and } 0 \leq k \leq r + s, \tag{5}$$

and

$$|f^{(k)}(x) - (Q_n f)^{(k)}(x)| \leq A_{r,s} \Delta_n(x)^{r-k} \omega_s(f^{(r)}, \Delta_n(x))$$

$$\text{for all } f \in C^{(r)}[-1, 1], |x| \leq 1, \text{ and } 0 \leq k \leq r. \tag{6}$$

By using these relations we now prove the assertion. In case  $\sqrt{1 - x^2} \geq n^{-1}$ ,  $\Delta_n(x) \leq 2\Gamma_n(x)$  and (6) imply (3). Suppose now that  $x$  is fixed with  $0 < x < 1$  and  $\sqrt{1 - x^2} < n^{-1}$  ( $-1 < x < 0$  is treated analogously). The result of Müller [7] implies that for  $f \in C^r[-1, 1]$  there exists a  $F_x \in C^{r+s}[-1, 1]$  with

$$\|f^{(k)} - F_x^{(k)}\| \leq c_{r,s} \Gamma_n(x)^{r-k} \omega_s(f^{(r)}, \Gamma_n(x)) \tag{7}$$

and

$$\Gamma_n(x)^s \|F_x^{(r+s)}\| \leq c_{r,s} \omega_s(f^{(r)}, \Gamma_n(x)). \tag{8}$$

Thus we obtain as an upper bound of  $|f^{(k)}(x) - (Q_n f)^{(k)}(x)|$

$$|f^{(k)}(x) - F_x^{(k)}(x)| + |F_x^{(k)}(x) - (Q_n F_x)^{(k)}(x)| + |\{Q_n(F_x - f)\}^{(k)}(x)|. \tag{9}$$

By (7), the first term has the required upper bound. The second term is equal to (note that the following constants are not the same in each step)

$$\left| \int_x^1 \int_{u_1}^1 \cdots \int_{u_{r-k}}^1 (F_x - Q_n F_x)^{(r+1)}(u_{r-k+1}) du_{r-k+1} \cdots du_1 \right|$$

$$\leq A_{r,s} (1 - x)^{r-k+1} \Delta_n(x)^{s-1} \|F_x^{(r+s)}\| \quad \text{by using (5).}$$

Since  $\Delta_n(x) \leq 2n^{-2}$  this is less than

$$C_{r,s} \Gamma_n(x)^{r-k} \omega_s(f^{(r)}, \Gamma_n(x)) (n \sqrt{1-x^2})^{r-s-k+2}.$$

If  $k \leq r-s+2$ , the last factor is bounded by one. To estimate the last term in (9) we consider

$$\int_x^1 \int_{u_1}^1 \cdots \int_{u_{r-k-1}}^1 \{Q_n(F_x - f)\}^{(r)}(u_{r-k}) du_{r-k} \cdots du_1 \tag{10}$$

$$= \sum_{v=0}^{r-k-1} (-1)^{r-k-1-v} \frac{(1-x)^v}{v!} \times \{Q_n(F_x - f)\}^{(k+v)}(1) + (-1)^{r-k} \{Q_n(F_x - f)\}^{(k)}(x). \tag{11}$$

Equation (7) and  $1-x \leq \Gamma_n(x)$  imply that the first term of (11) is bounded by  $C_{r,s} \Gamma_n(x)^{r-k} \omega_s(f^{(r)}, \Gamma_n(x))$ . By using (6) we get as an upper bound of (10)

$$C_{r,s} (1-x)^{r-k} [\omega_s(F_x^{(r)} - f^{(r)}, \Delta_n(x)) + \|F_x^{(r)} - f^{(r)}\|] \leq C_{r,s} \Gamma_n(x)^{r-k} \|F_x^{(r)} - f^{(r)}\|$$

which with (7) gives the result.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . For all  $C \in \mathbb{R}$  and all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a  $f \in C^r[-1, 1]$  such that for all  $p_n \in \prod_n$  there exists a  $x = x_k \in [-1, 1]$  with*

$$|f^{(k)}(x) - p_n^{(k)}(x)| > C \Gamma_n(x)^{r-k} \omega_s(f^{(r)}, \Gamma_n(x))$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  with  $r-s+3 \leq k \leq r$ .

*Proof.* We only give a sketch. We first assume  $s = r + 3$  and define as in Yu [10]

$$f_{r,a}(x) = \begin{cases} (-1 + a - x)^{2r+3}, & -1 \leq x \leq -1 + a \\ 0, & -1 + a < x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

with  $a = \{4Cn^{2r+2}\}^{-1}$ . The assertion now follows by arguments similar to those given in the proof of Theorems 1 and 2 of Yu [10]. If  $s > r + 3$  we obtain the result from above since  $\omega_s(f^{(r)}, \cdot) \leq 2^{s-r-3} \omega_{r+3}(f^{(r)}, \cdot)$ . If  $s < r + 3$  we consider the function

$$f(x) = \int_{-1}^x \int_{-1}^{u_{r-s+3}} \cdots \int_{-1}^{u_2} f_{s-3,a}(u_1) du_1 \cdots du_{r-s+3}$$

with  $a = \{4Cn^{2s-4}\}^{-1}$  and obtain again from the special case  $s = r + 3$  the assertion.

Summarizing the results of both theorems we have the following situation. Given  $r, s$  we can find by Theorem 1 a constant  $C_{r,s}$  such that (3) holds simultaneously for the first  $r - s + 2$  derivatives. For the same constant we can then find by Theorem 2 a function  $f$  such that (3) is wrong for all higher derivatives. Especially, we have the following corollary.

**COROLLARY.** *Assertion (3) holds simultaneously for all  $r$  derivatives if and only if  $s \leq 2$ .*

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I am grateful to E. Hinnemann for bringing the problem to my attention.

#### REFERENCES

1. JU A. BRUDNYI, Generalizations of a theorem of A. F. Timan, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **4** (1963), 244–247.
2. R. A. DE VORE, Pointwise approximation by polynomials and splines, in "Proc. Conf. on Approximation of Functions, Kalouga 1975" (S. B. Steckin and S. A. Telyakowskii, Ed.), pp. 132–141, Izdat. Nauka, Moscow 1977.
3. H. H. GONSKA AND E. HINNEMANN, Pointwise estimations of approximations by algebraic polynomials, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **46** (1985), 243–254. [German]
4. I. E. GOPENGAUZ, A theorem of A. F. Timan on the approximation of functions by polynomials on a finite segment. *Mat. Zametki* **1** (1967), 163–172. [Russian]
5. I. E. GOPENGAUZ, A question concerning the approximation of functions on a segment and a region with corners, *Theor. Funkcii Funkcional. Anal. Pril.* **4** (1967), 204–210. [Russian]
6. E. HINNEMANN AND H. H. GONSKA, Generalization of a theorem of De Vore, in "Approximation Theory IV" (C. K. Chui, L. L. Schumaker, and J. W. Ward, Eds.), pp. 527–532, Academic Press, New York, 1983.
7. M. W. MÜLLER, An extension of the Freud–Popov lemma, in "Approximation Theory III" (E. W. Cheney, Ed.), pp. 661–665, Academic Press, New York, 1980.
8. S. A. TELYAKOWSKII, Two theorems on approximation of functions by algebraic polynomials, in "American Mathematical Society Translations, Series 2," Vol. 77, pp. 163–177, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1966.
9. A. F. TIMAN, A strengthening of Jackson's theorem on the best approximation of continuous functions on a finite segment of the real axis, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **78** (1951), 17–20. [Russian]
10. YU XIANG-MING, Pointwise estimate for algebraic polynomial approximation, *Approx. Theory Appl.* **1** (1985), 109–114.
11. G. G. LORENTZ, An unsolved problem, in "On Approximation Theory" (P. L. Butzer and J. Korevaar, Eds.), p. 185, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1972.